UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
V. Dustin Castenholz Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00260-PLM	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail I that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part I –	Findings of Fact	
	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of the that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	§ 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
an offense for which the maximum sentence	e is death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison term	n of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
a felony committed after the defendant had U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 tate or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	involves:	
	rm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committee or local offense.	d while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pre-	sumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another dant has not rebutted that presumption.	
Alterna	tive Findings (A)	
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defended	ant has committed an offense	
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten yea Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et		
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
 (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption e will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance 	established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.	
	ative Findings (B)	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	appear. Inger the safety of another person or the community.	
 · · ·	of the Reasons for Detention	
	t the detention hearing establishes by <u>\(\lambda \)</u> clear and convincing	
Although defendant has minimal criminal history, he is char	rged with a serious drug crime. Defendant has lived in several states	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

alcohol abuse issues. Defendant has had a concealed weapons charge. Defendant misrepresented his marijuana use to Pretrial Services. Perhaps most troubling is a recorded conversation defendant had with his mother suggesting deceptiveness

about his knowledge of the location of his passport.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 19, 2013	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	